



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/450,514	11/30/1999		KOICHI SATO	P18408	7714	
7055	7590	07/14/2005		EXAMINER		
		ERNSTEIN, P.L.C	HANNETT, JAMES M			
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2612		
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Surrence	09/450,514	SATO, KOICHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James M. Hannett	2612
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	oril 2005.	
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/30/1999 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach that the thinned pixel data is uniformly distributed, spaced from each other by at least one thinned out pixel data. The examiner disagrees with the applicant and asserts that the claim is written broadly and is viewed by the examiner to teach this limitation. Miyamoto depicts in Figure 3 thinned pixel data which is viewed as the pixel data displayed on an LCD. The pixel data is viewed to be uniformly distributed due to the fact that the thinned out data is a uniform pattern. Furthermore, the examiner views that the removed pixel data (data not displayed on the LCD) separates the thinned pixel data (data displayed on the LCD) by at least one pixel data.

The examiner informs the applicant that if the claim was amended to include the limitation that "each pixel in the thinned pixel data is separated from each pixel by at least one pixel data". The claim would overcome the current grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/450,514

Art Unit: 2612

Page 3

1: Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,593,965 Miyamoto.

2: In regards to Claim 10, Miyamoto teaches an image reading device in which pixel data of a first image, formed on an imaging device having an on-chip color filter of a plurality of colors, are point-sequentially read from the imaging device (Column 2, Lines 11-17). And subjected to an interpolation process (Column 4, Lines 12-20) to generate components of the plurality of colors for each of the pixel data to obtain a second image, the image reading device comprising:

A thinning processor (Figure 3 and Column 3, Lines 57-60) that thins out some of the pixel data before the pixel data are subjected to the interpolation process, so that the second image is composed of a smaller number of pixels than the first image. Furthermore, the thinned pixel data displayed on the LCD display is uniformly distributed and spaced from each other because the thinned pixel data consists of the pixels continuing in the uniform pattern as depicted in Figure 3 of Miyamoto. It is viewed by the examiner that the thinned pixel data displayed on the LCD display are spaced from each other because the thinned pixel data consists of the pixels and are in a two-dimensional matrix. The pixels are in different geometric locations. Therefore, the pixels are spaced from each other by at least one thinned out pixel data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3: Claims 1-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,593,965 Miyamoto in view of USPN 5,900,623 Tsang et al.
- 4: As for Claim 1, Miyamoto teaches an image reading device comprising:

An imaging device that has pixels and color filters provided on said imaging device, said color filter having color filter elements of a plurality of colors (Figure 3), said pixels generating an original image data containing pixel data, each of which corresponds to one of said colors which are arranged in a predetermined distribution; A reading processor that reads said pixel data from said imaging device; Column 2, Lines 11-17. A thinning processor that thins out some of said pixel data to generate a thinned image data, colors of which are arranged in said predetermined distribution; Figure 3 and Column 3, Lines 57-60 and An interpolation processor that performs an interpolation process on said thinned image data to generate an interpolated image data for each of said colors; Column 4, Lines 12-20. Furthermore, the thinned pixel data displayed on the LCD display is uniformly distributed and spaced from each other because the thinned pixel data consists of the pixels continuing in the uniform pattern as depicted in Figure 3 of Miyamoto. It is viewed by the examiner that the thinned pixel data displayed on the LCD display are spaced from each other because the thinned pixel data consists of the pixels and are in a twodimensional matrix. The pixels are in different geometric locations. Therefore, the pixels are spaced from each other by at least one thinned out pixel data.

Miyamoto does not teach an imaging device that has photo-diodes rather states that the imaging device is a CCD image sensor.

Tsang et al depicts in Figure 4 and teaches on Columns 4 and 5, Lines 60-67 and Lines 1-4 the use of an image sensor that uses photo-diodes for generating image data. Tsang et al

Application/Control Number: 09/450,514

Art Unit: 2612

teaches that it is advantageous to use photo-diodes because they provide superior quantum efficiency.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the photo-diode image sensor array configuration of Tsang et al for the image sensor of Miyamoto in order to provide superior quantum efficiency.

In regards to Claim 2, Miyamoto teaches on Column 3, lines 57-63 and depicts in Figure 3 wherein said colors of said original image data are arranged in such a manner that a $(m \times m)$ matrix, formed by said plurality of colors, is repeated, and said thinning processor thins out $(m \times (n-1))$ number of pixel data for every $(m \times n)$ number of pixel data in a horizontal direction and a vertical direction of an image corresponding to said original image data, wherein each of "m" and "n" is a positive integer greater than 1. The examiner has viewed m = 2 and n = 2, therefore there is a (2x2) matrix which contains two green pixels one red pixel and one blue pixel. And the system thins out 2 pixel data for every 4-pixel data. Miyamoto teaches on Column 5, Lines 59-63 that the ratio for image reduction is not limited to 1:2, and that by changing the number of pixels between neighboring pixel blocks, other corresponding ratios can be used.

However, Miyamoto does not specifically state that the thinning processor thins out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel data. However, Official Notice is taken that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made for display screens to be different sizes which would require a thinning process to thin out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel data, in order to enable a system to display image data on display screens that have less resolution that the image sensor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the system of Miyamoto to use a reduction ratio in which the

thinning processor thins out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel in order to enable the system to display the image data on a display screen that had one third the resolution of the image sensor.

- 6: As for Claim 3, Miyamoto teaches on Column 3, lines 57-63 and depicts in Figure 3 wherein the colors of the original image data are arranged in such a manner that a (2x2) matrix, formed by said plurality of colors, is repeated, and said thinning processor thins out $(2 \times (n-1)) = 2$ number of pixel data for every $(2 \times n) = 4$ number of pixel data in a horizontal direction and a vertical direction of an image corresponding to the original image data. The examiner has viewed n = 2, therefore there is a (2x2) matrix which contains two green pixels one red pixel and one blue pixel. And the system thins out 2 pixel data for every 4 pixel data.
- 7: In regards to Claim 4, Miyamoto teaches on Column 5, Lines 59-63 that the ratio for image reduction is not limited to 1:2, and that by changing the number of pixels between neighboring pixel blocks, other corresponding ratios can be used.

However, Miyamoto does not specifically state that the thinning processor thins out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel data. However, Official Notice is taken that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made for display screens to be different sizes which would require a thinning process to thin out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel data, in order to enable a system to display image data on display screens that have less resolution that the image sensor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the system of Miyamoto to use a reduction ratio in which the thinning processor thins out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel in order to enable the system to display the image data on a display screen that had one third the resolution of the image sensor.

8: As for Claim 5, Miyamoto teaches on Column 5, Lines 59-63 that the ratio for image reduction is not limited to 1:2, and that by changing the number of pixels between neighboring pixel blocks, other corresponding ratios can be used.

However, Miyamoto does not specifically state that the thinning processor thins out 2 pixel data for every 3 pixel data. However, Official Notice is taken that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made for display screens to be different sizes which would require a thinning process to thin out 4 pixel data for every 5 pixel data, in order to enable a system to display image data on display screens that have less resolution that the image sensor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the system of Miyamoto to use a reduction ratio in which the thinning processor thins out 4 pixel data for every 5 pixel data in order to enable the system to display the image data on a display screen that had one fifth the resolution of the image sensor.

- 9: In regards to Claim 6, Miyamoto depicts in Figure 3 that the colors of the color filter elements are arranged in the Bayer arrangement.
- 10: As for Claim 7, Miyamoto depicts in Figure 3 that the color filter has red filter elements, green filter elements and blue filter elements, and in the (2 x 2) matrix, the green filter elements are positioned on a diagonal line, and the red filter element and the blue filter element are positioned on another diagonal line.
- 11: In regards to Claim 8, Miyamoto teaches on Column 5, Lines 59-63 that the ratio for image reduction is not limited to 1:2, and that by changing the number of pixels between neighboring pixel blocks, other corresponding ratios can be used. Therefore, the reduction ratio is set in accordance with which the number of pixel data thinned out by the thinned processor.

- 12: As for Claim 9, Miyamoto teaches on Column 5, Lines 64-67 a reduced image indicating processor that forms a color image based on the interpolated image data and indicates the color image. Miyamoto teaches that the reduced or thinned image is interpolated and sent to the video memory and is then displayed on an LCD. This is viewed by the examiner as forming a color image based on the interpolated image data and indicates the color image.
- 13: In regards to Claim 11, Miyamoto depicts in Figure 3 that the colors of the color filter elements are arranged in the Bayer arrangement.
- 14: As for Claim 12, Miyamoto depicts in Figure 3 that the color filter has red filter elements, green filter elements and blue filter elements, and in the (2 x 2) matrix, the green filter elements are positioned on a diagonal line, and the red filter element and the blue filter element are positioned on another diagonal line.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Hannett whose telephone number is 571-272-7309. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Hannett Examiner Art Unit 2612

JMH July 11, 2005

PANARY EXAMINER